Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/762,269	SLEIMAN ET AL.
Examiner initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Melvin Curtis Mayes	1791
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.		(3)	
(2) <u>Chad Kleinheksel</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 December 2007		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1,5,11 and 17			
Prior art documents discussed: Ijiri et al.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI See Continuation Sheet	E GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
	•		
;			
	,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/762,269

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Ijiri with respect to circular ridges suggested by recesses in the form of "dots." Agreed to cancel Claims 5 and 17 and amend Claims 1 and 11 to read "nor" instead of "or" so as to be inclusive of both negative limitations referred to by "none of said projections" in order to place the case in condition for allowance..